



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/819,920	03/29/2001	Yoshiaki Komatsu	108631 4360		
25944 7:	590 11/01/2005		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			NGUYEN, KEVIN M		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674		

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/819,920	KOMATSU, YOSHIAKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kevin M. Nguyen	2674	

Before the I ming of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin M. Nguyen	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	•		
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a supply of the property of the pro	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS		_				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO	f, will <u>not</u> be entered l TE below);	because			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		•			
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. $oxedsymbol{oxed}$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 12-14</u> .			•			
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-10 and 12-14.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allows	uce pecalise.			
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper (No(s)				
13. Other:	Total ? Elevant					
	PATRICK N. EDOUARD	Kevin M. Nguyen Patent Examiner				

OPERVISORY PAIENT EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2674

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the claimed limitation "a sound data erasing device that erases the sound data from the sound data storage device if all of the written information is erased from the input area," the reasons as stated in the interview summary filed 9/21/2005. However, it would have to be given further consideration.